

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/449,851	11/24/9	9 HOLT		К	CIMA3.0-035
_		HM12/0829	7	E	EXAMINER
LERNER DAVID LITTENBERG				PULLIA	м. А
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK LLP				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
600 SOUTH WESTFIELD		T ·	·	1615	A
				DATE MAILED:	08/29/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

•							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/449,851	HOLT ET AL.					
onnee neuen cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Amy E Pulliam	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence addr ss					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	' IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(	S) FROM					
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> </ul>	cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) I	thirty (30) days will  MONTHS from the mailing date of this					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 J							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>t</i>							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers		· 410					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIFI	ED copies of the priority docume	ents have been:					
<ul><li>1. received.</li><li>2. received in Application No. (Series Code</li></ul>	y / Serial Number)						
3. received in Application No. (Series Code		(PCT Rule 17 2(a))					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of							
14) ☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	•						
Attachment(s)							
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).							
<ul> <li>16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4</li> </ul>		9) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/449,851

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of the following: Signed Declaration (1/10/00), Information Disclosure Statement (11/26/99), Filing Date Petition (12/13/99), and the Formal Drawings (6/22/00).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 14, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,516,524 to Kais *et al.* (hereinafter Kais). Kais teaches a pharmaceutical composition comprising doictyl sulfosuccinate (abstract). Specifically, Kais is relied upon for the teaching that double coatings are used for taste masking. Specifically, in column 11, example 6, Kais states that the objective is to eliminate the bitter taste of the drug by applying a double coating. In column 5, lines 55-60, Kais discloses that the composition can be coated with a single coating or multiple coatings, although double coating is preferred. Further, Kais teaches that the second coating can be chosen from pH sensitive polymers. Additionally, Kais states that it is preferable for the first and second coatings to be different, although the coatings can be from the

Application/Control Number: 09/449.851

Art Unit: 1615

same broad group of compositions, for instance both can be pH sensitive polymers. Kais further teaches Eudragit E as an example of a pH sensitive polymer which can be used in the second coating of this invention (c 5, I 60 and c 6, I 48). Applicant does not claim any specific coatings, however, in the examples applicant uses Eudragit E as the taste masking layer. Therefore, Kais's disclosure of Eudragit E reads on applicant's claims to insolubility in saliva at neutral pH and solubility in saliva at acidic pH's as well as solubility in the stomach. Kais's coating must have these same characteristics, as these traits are inherent to the material. Kais further teaches that the coating materials can be between1 and 50 weight percent of the composition (c 8, I 10-13).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kais as applied to claims 1-5, 14, and 19-21. Kais teaches applicant's double coating for the purpose of taste masking. Kais does not teach the specific weight percents or thicknesses of the coatings. However, it is the position of the examiner that these are limitations that would be routinely determined by one of ordinary skill in the art, through minimal experimentation, as being suitable, absent the presentation of some unusual and/ or unexpected results. The results must be those that accrue from the specific

Application/Control Number: 09/449,851

Art Unit: 1615

Page 4

limitations. Absent any evidence to the contrary, it is therefore the position of the examiner that the weight percents and thicknesses claimed by applicant do not change the function of the dual coating, and therefore do not merit patentable weight. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make a dual coated particle with applicant's limitations, based on the teachings of Kais. One of ordinary skill in the art would expect a taste masked formulation regardless of specific percents and thicknesses. Therefore, this invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy E Pulliam whose telephone number is (703) 308-4710. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7922 for regular communications and (703) 308-7922 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1800